MICHIGAN STRATEGIC FUND
APPROVED MEETING MINUTES
July 28, 2026

Member Present
Quentin L Messer, Jr.

Members Joined Remotely
Britany Affolter-Caine
Wesley Eklund
John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin)
Dimitrius Hutcherson
Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich)
Alissa Roath
Lynda Rossi
Susan Tellier
Randy Thelen
Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks)

Absent
Dan Meyering
Leon Richardson

I. CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL

Mr. Messer called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m. The meeting was held in person in the Lake Michigan Conference Room at the MEDC headquarters building in Lansing.

Mr. Messer introduced Christina DeGrow, MSF Administrator, who conducted the attendance roll call.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Ms. DeGrow explained the process for in-person members of the public to participate. Public comment was had.

III. VIRTUAL PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Ms. DeGrow explained the process for virtual members of the public to participate. Virtual public comment was had.

IV. COMMUNICATIONS

Ms. DeGrow stated that communications were shared with the MSF Board via email on Friday, July 24th, 2026.

Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, Chair of the MSF Policy and Planning Subcommittee, and Dimitrius Hutcherson, Chair of the MSF Finance and Investment Subcommittee, provided updates on MSF Subcommittee activities in July.

Randy Thelen, recused, left the meeting at 9:15 a.m.

Susan Tellier, recused, left the meeting at 9:15 a.m.

V. CONSENT AGENDA

Resolution 2026-069, Approval of Consent Agenda Items

Mr. Messer asked if there were any questions from Board Members regarding items under the Consent Agenda. There being none, Dimitrius Hutcherson motioned for approval of the following:

a. June 23, 2026, MSF Meeting Minutes
b. LJ, Inc: BDP Amendment **2026-070**
c. MSF FOIA Policy Amendment **2026-071**
d. Small Business No-Cost Contract Extensions **2026-072**
e. Clairmount Apartments LLC: CRP Amendment **2026-073**

Dimitrius Hutcherson motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-069 to approve the Consent Agenda. Michael B. Kapp seconded the motion. **The motion carried: 9 ayes; 0 nays; 2 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen.

Susan Tellier rejoined the meeting virtually at 9:16 a.m.

Randy Thelen rejoined the meeting virtually at 9:17 a.m.

VI. DEVELOP ATTRACTIVE PLACES

a. **Resolution 2026-074 Busy Bee Development, LLC and Busy Bee Development MT, LLC Community Revitalization Program Grant**

Annie Mendoza, Community Development Manager for Region 10, presented the Board with information regarding the requested action. The request involves consideration of a resolution to approve a Michigan Community Revitalization Program Grant in the amount of up to $1,500,000 to Busy Bee Development, LLC and Busy Bee Development MT, LLC.

Following discussion, Quentin L. Messer, Jr. motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-074 to approve the CRP Grant. Randy Thelen seconded the motion. **The motion carried: 11 ayes; 0 nays; 0 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: None.

VII. ATTRACT, RETAIN, AND GROW BUSINESS

a. Resolution 2026-075 Fanuc America Corporation Michigan Business Development Program Grant

Amanda Baker, Senior Business Development Manager, provided the Board with information on the requested action. The request involves the consideration of a resolution to approve a Michigan Business Development Program (MBDP) Grant Award in the amount of $1,575,000 to Fanuc America Corporation.

Following discussion, Quentin L. Messer, Jr. motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-075 to approve the MBDP Grant. John Groen seconded the motion. **The motion carried: 11 ayes; 0 nays; 0 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: None.

b. Resolution 2026-076 & 2026-077 Sapa Transmission, Inc. Michigan Business Development Program Grant & Alternative State Essential Services Assessment Exemption

Joeseph McCulloch, Senior Business Development Manager, provided the Board with information on the requested action. The request involves the consideration of a resolution to approve a Michigan Business Development Program Grant (MBDP) in the amount of $5,000,000 and a 15-year, 50% Alternative State Essential Services Assessment Exemption (ASESA), with an estimated value of up to $1,423,110 for its $130,200,000 eligible investment in Eligible Personal Property, to SAPA Transmission, Inc.

Quentin L. Messer, Jr. motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-076 to approve the MBDP Grant. Susan Tellier seconded the motion. **The motion carried: 11 ayes; 0 nays; 0 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: None.

Dimitrius Hutcherson motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-077 to approve the ASESA. Quentin L Messer, Jr. seconded the motion. **The motion carried: 11 ayes; 0 nays; 0 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: None.

c. 2026-078 Erie Township Michigan Build Ready Sites Grant

Paul O' Connell, Senior Business Development Manager, provided the Board with information on the requested action. The request involves the consideration of a resolution to approve a Michigan Build Ready Sites Program Grant in the amount of $8,500,000 to Erie Township.

Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-078 to approve the Michigan Built Ready Sites Program Grant. Quentin L Messer, Jr. seconded the motion. **The motion carried: 11 ayes; 0 nays; 0 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: None.

Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, recused, left the meeting at 9:50 a.m.

VIII. ENTREPRENEURSHIP & INNOVATION

a. **Resolution 2026-079 & 2026-080 MICF Program Guideline Amendment & Funding Allocation**

Alison Todak, Vice President of Entrepreneurship & Innovation, provided the Board with information on the requested action. The request involves the consideration of a resolution to approve an amendment to the Michigan Innovate Capital Fund Program (MICF) Guidelines and the allocation of $3,000,000 from the Jobs for Michigan Investment Fund to the MIFC Program.

Lynda Rossi motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-078 to approve the funding allocation. Quentin L Messer, Jr. seconded the motion. **The motion carried: 10 ayes; 0 nays; 1 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine.

Alissa Roath motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-079 to approve the MICF Program guideline amendment. Quentin L Messer, Jr. seconded the motion. **The motion carried: 10 ayes; 0 nays; 1 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine.

Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine rejoined the meeting at 10:07 a.m.

Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine, recused, left the meeting at 10:10 a.m.

IX. SUPPORT SMALL BUSINESS

a. **Resolution 2026-081 FY26 Small Business Support Hubs Funding Round**
Jay Williams, Director of Small Business Services, provided the Board with information on the requested action. The request involves the consideration of a resolution to approve grant awards for the Small Business Support Hubs Program Funding Round in the amount of $11,276,500 for fiscal year 2026.

Dimitrius Hutcherson motioned for the approval of Resolution 2026-081 to approve the SBSH Awards. Quentin L. Messer, Jr. seconded the motion. T**he motion carried: 10 ayes; 0 nays; 1 recused.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: Ayes: Wesley Eklund, John Groen (on behalf of Director Corbin, designation attached), Dimitrius Hutcherson, Michael B. Kapp (on behalf of Director Wieferich, designation attached), Quentin L. Messer, Jr., Alissa Roath, Lynda Rossi, Susan Tellier, Randy Thelen, Lance Wilkinson (on behalf of Treasurer Eubanks, designation attached); Nays: None; Recused: Dr. Britany Affolter-Caine.

X. INFORMATIONAL

Mr. Messer noted that the Michigan Strategic Fund Delegation of Authority Report from June 1, 2026, to June 30, 2026, was included in the meeting packet. There were no questions regarding the report.

Mr. Messer adjourned the meeting at 10:14 a.m.

July 22, 2026

MSF Fund Manager
MEDC
300 N. Washington Square
Lansing, Michigan

Dear Fund Manager,

This is to advise that I am recusing myself from voting and excuse myself during the discussion of the following item on the Michigan Strategic Fund Board Meeting Agenda of July 28, 2026.

- Small Business No-Cost Contract Extensions

The reason for my recusal is to avoid the appearance of a conflict with this item.

Sincerely,

Randy Thelen

July 28, 2026

MSF Fund Manager
MEDC
300 N. Washington Square
Lansing, Michigan

Dear Fund Manager,

This is to advise you that I am recusing myself from voting and excuse myself during the discussion of the **Michigan Innovate Capital Fund** during the Michigan Strategic Fund Board Meeting on Tuesday, July 28, due to conflicts of interest. RU4M members Michigan State University and the University of Michigan are part of the MICF. I am also recusing myself from the **Small Business Support Hubs Program Funding Round** due to a conflict of interest. RU4M members Wayne State University and Michigan Technological University are both affiliated with recipients.

Many thanks –

Britany Affolter-Caine
Executive Director
Research Universities for Michigan (RU4M)

July 24, 2026

MSF Fund Manager
Michigan Economic Development Corporation
300 N. Washington Square
Lansing, MI 48913

Dear Fund Manager,

This letter advises that I am recusing myself from discussion and voting of the Small Business No-Cost Contract Extensions item on the agenda at the July 28, 2026 Michigan Strategic Fund Board Meeting.

The reason for my recusal is to avoid the appearance of a conflict on this item.

Sincerely,

Sue Schweim Tellier

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY
LANSING

GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

RACHAEL EUBANKS
STATE TREASURER

June 2, 2026

Natalie Davenport
Michigan Strategic Fund Administrator
Michigan Economic Development Corporation
300 N. Washington Square
Lansing, MI 48913

Re: Michigan Strategic Fund Board Meeting and Michigan Strategic Fund Finance and Investment Subcommittee Designees for Treasurer Eubanks

Dear Ms. Davenport:

I hereby designate Lance Wilkinson, Andrew Lockwood, and Amber Westendorp to attend all Michigan Strategic Fund Board Meetings and Michigan Strategic Fund Finance and Investment Subcommittee meetings that I am unable to attend in 2026.

If you need anything additional, please contact Kara Kabia at (517) 275-0646.

Sincerely,

Rachael Eubanks

Rachael Eubanks
State Treasurer

Cc: Lance Wilkinson
Eric Bussis
Andrew Lockwood
Amber Westendorp

GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
LANSING

BRADLEY C. WIEFERICH, P.E.
ACTING DIRECTOR

January 3, 2023

Ms. Rhonda Bishop
Board Relations Liaison
Michigan Strategic Fund Office
300 N. Washington Square
Lansing, Michigan 48913

Dear Ms. Bishop:

I hereby confirm and ratify my designation of Michael B. Kapp, Administrator, Michigan Department of Transportation, as the person authorized and empowered to act in my stead for Michigan Strategic Fund meetings that I am unable to attend.

Sincerely,

Bradley C. Wieferich, P.E.
Acting Director

cc: M. Kapp
Executive File

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY
LANSING

GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

SUSAN CORBIN
DIRECTOR

March 14, 2025

Ms. Natalie Davenport
Michigan Strategic Fund Administrator
300 North Washington Square
Lansing, Michigan 48913

Re: Michigan Strategic Fund Board Meeting Designee

Dear Ms. Davenport,

Pursuant to MCLA 16.51, I hereby confirm my designation of John Groen as the person authorized and empowered to act in my stead as a member of the Michigan Strategic Fund Board for scheduled meetings or portions thereof that I am unable to attend.

If you need anything additional, please contact Amaya Durkee at 616-522-7772 or DurkeeA4@michigan.gov.

If you need

Sincerely,

Susan R. Corbin

Susan R. Corbin
Director

July 22, 2026

Michigan Strategic Fund
c/o Michigan Economic Development Corporation
300 North Washington Square
Lansing, Michigan 48913

RE: Letter of Support – LJ Inc. Expansion Project in Shiawassee County

Dear Members of the Michigan Strategic Fund Board:

On behalf of the Shiawassee Economic Development Partnership (SEDP), the economic development agency serving Shiawassee County, I am writing to express our strong support for the proposed amendment to LJ Inc.'s Business Development Program grant for their expansion in our community.

Upon completion, the project is anticipated to position LJ Inc. as the second largest employer in the county - a distinction that speaks to the extraordinary scale and significance of this investment. SEDP has worked closely with LJ Inc. and local stakeholders throughout the planning process, and we are confident that our community is an ideal location to support the company's long-term growth objectives.

Shiawassee County, like many rural Michigan communities, continues to work diligently to expand access to quality employment for its residents. The jobs created by LJ Inc.'s expansion will provide local families with meaningful career pathways, competitive wages, and long-term economic stability. It will also reduce the need for residents to commute to neighboring counties for employment.

The capital investment associated with the LJ Inc. expansion will also generate a significant and lasting contribution to the local tax base. New investment translates directly into increased revenues for local governments and school districts, supporting essential public services for area residents. Projects of this magnitude are rare for a county of our size, and this investment further signals to other businesses and site selectors that Shiawassee County is open for business and ready to support growth.

The SEDP enthusiastically endorses the LJ Inc. expansion project and encourages the Michigan Strategic Fund to support this amendment request. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (989) 725-9241 or jhorvath@sedpweb.org.

Regards,

Justin Horvath

Justin Horvath,
President/CEO
Shiawassee Economic Development Partnership

METROPLEX MI 480
23 JUN 2026 PM 9 L

Freedom 250

MAPLE

MSFB is funding our death!

Stop the Datacenter!

Michigan Strategic Fund Board

300 N Washington Sq.

Lansing, MI 48913

METROPLEX MI 480
26 JUN 2026 PM 9 L

Freedom 250

Beware big tech lies.

Michigan Strategic F

300 N. Washingto

Lansing, MI 489

48913-

METROPLEX MI 480
15 JUN 2026 PM 9 L

Freedom 250

FOREVER

MSFB,
Michiganders our tax $ spent on Data Centers. Specifically this UofM x LANL project that will be researching/developing nuclear weapons. Fund projects that will actually benefit us. Nothing good will come from this

Michigan Strategic Fund Board

300 N. Washington Sq.

Lansing, MI 48913

METROPLEX MI 480
25 JUN 2026 PM 9 L

Freedom 250

FOREVER USA

MSFB -
We don't want this data center - and we certainly don't want our collective money to fund it! Get the fuck out of Ypsi and leave us alone!
The LANL/UM nuclear research data center will support crimes against humanity and must be stopped!

MI Strategic Fund Board

300 N Washington Sq.

Lansing, MI 48913

48913-

From:	Sally Yost
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Sunday, June 21, 2026 10:59:59 AM

I potentially will be living 6 miles from an AI Data Center to be located in Lowell, the township next to mine. My township has a moratorium on AI Data Centers and the people of Lowell are fighting to keep this AI Data Center from being built. Michiganders do not want AI Data Centers anywhere in their state because of their long term irreversible harmful impact. Our Governor and other officials need to start respecting the loud voice of Michiganders!

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sally Yost
7922 Clydesdale Dr SE
Ada, MI 49301
kurtsallyyost@gmail.com
(616) 485-7150

From:	Julia Colingsworth
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Sunday, June 21, 2026 11:43:44 AM

I am very skeptical of data centers and their huge use of resources.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Julia Colingsworth
439 Hayes St.
Holland, MI 49424
juliamusicmaker@aol.com
(269) 225-7418

From:	Ron Kardos
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Sunday, June 21, 2026 8:59:57 PM

The state of Michigan should do everything possible to be sure that we have strict guardrails on any data centers. There must be no consideration at all to the issue of providing tax breaks for these data centers.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far

exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ron Kardos
5766 Green Rd.
Fenton, MI 48430
rmichael5766@sbcglobal.net
(517) 215-5941

From: Dianne Hunter
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Sunday, June 21, 2026 10:01:27 PM

Enforce the law's 90% clean energy requirement.
Make data centers prove it -- for their own operations.
Close the loophole that allows automatic tax breaks for all data centers connected to DTE or Consumers regardless of compliance with the law.
Issue no certificates until the rules are fixed.
Governor Whitmer should:

Pause new exemptions, like Ohio and Illinois.
Order a full fiscal, climate, and grid accounting.
Suspend approvals until the public knows the true cost.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dianne Hunter
PO Box 926
Hazel Park, MI 48030
hutchdily@yahoo.com
(248) 629-0491

From: Alethea Blaske
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Monday, June 22, 2026 10:00:00 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Alethea Blaske
6476 Blank Road
Whitehall, MI 49461
aletheaweiler@yahoo.com
(231) 578-5222

From: Virginia Maturen
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Monday, June 22, 2026 7:34:16 AM

In addition, I have many additional concerns.
1. We need to protect local water supplies. I have a well and live on a small lake. If ground water is pumped to lower the level, both could dry up.
2. DC's increase air pollution. This is already impacting climate change. We all breath the same air, experience droughts, high temperatures, etc. Aren't you concerned about your own health, your children. grandkids, and future generations?
3. Noise. The noise is continous, night and day. Decibels above 85 can harm hearing. Again, aren't you concerned about your hearing and all future generations. Noise can cause disruptions in sleeping patterns, headaches and reduce your quality of life.
4. DC complete for quality of land: farms, communities, outdoor recreation areas and parks.
5.Data Centers are impacting our marginalized communities. History has already shown that DC are not reinforcing existing inequities.
Please consider"
Dedicated rate classes for large energy users.
Thanks

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Virginia Maturen
9810 Kress Rd.
Pinckney, MI 48169
ginnymaturen@charter.net
(734) 730-2947

From:	Pj Anderson
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Monday, June 22, 2026 1:10:59 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pj Anderson
PO Box 695
Saline, MI 48176
pjaander@aol.com
(812) 775-9108

From: Ruth Dombrowski
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Monday, June 22, 2026 2:39:45 PM

As a taxpayer, voter and your constituent I do not support my tax dollars nor my utility payments going to build nor support data centers in anyway. No tax breaks for them!

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ruth Dombrowski
35 Linswood
Monroe, MI 48162
ruthad958@gmail.com
(517) 416-0161

From: Maria Burden
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Monday, June 22, 2026 2:52:55 PM

I'm a member of the Sierra Club of Michigan, Crossroads Group and we have always supported you and applauded your commitment to Michigan's natural resources.
Data Centers will threaten our vital Great Lakes, the health of our communities and rural and natural areas.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Maria Burden
4715 Melanie Lane
White Lake, MI 48383
sheltiegalmb@yahoo.com
(248) 330-2597

From:	Doug Cornell
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Monday, June 22, 2026 2:53:31 PM

Please stand up for our concerns regarding data centers.
We don't want to be blindly accept their terms when it is our lives, well-being and natural resources they want to squander!

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far

exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Doug Cornell
3635 Mason Rd
Howell, MI 48843
decrayltd@yahoo.com
(501) 318-4534

From:	Courtenay Hall
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Monday, June 22, 2026 3:25:15 PM

This issue is very important to me with regard to all of the obvious concerns regarding data centers, but I would add to those concerns the fact that our electric and water infrastructure in the state is at near end of life and end of life capacity, some of the data centers have a significant potential to make us targets during wartime, there is a significant lack of transparency in many cases, and the communities have not been given an opportunity to weigh in, and these projects are being rushed through before the science and regulation has an opportunity to catch up! Please honor your commitment to Michigan and do the right thing by instituting a statewide moratorium while our legislature and the communities work toward more understanding.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Courtenay Hall
7069 Fay dr, Vanburen Township MI 48111
Vanburen, MI 48111
hallcourtenay@yahoo.com
(734) 657-8428

From:	Andrew Kasha
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Monday, June 22, 2026 3:34:33 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Andrew Kasha
8149 Lake Crest Dr
Ypsilanti, MI 48197
andrew.kasha@gmail.com
(812) 454-5769

From:	Serge Schillio
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Monday, June 22, 2026 5:15:09 PM

The first DATA that should be looked at is the False Narrative and True costs used to justify and subsidize these centers

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Serge Schillio
4144 Ridge Road, apt 15
Stevensville, MI 49127
spschillio@gmail.com
(269) 983-5125

From:	Donnie Bettes
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Monday, June 22, 2026 7:15:53 PM

As a voting Michigan resident, I ask you to pause tax breaks to data centers until we have full accounting of the true costs of these mega energy users. We should not squander out natural resources to make billionaires even richer! De. Donnie Beasley

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far

exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Donnie Bettes
3430 Pineridge Ln
Brighton, MI 48116
dsbeasley@gmail.com
(810) 772-1762

From:	Susan Macdonald
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Tuesday, June 23, 2026 7:08:01 AM

Why should Michiganders contribute to the destruction of its lands and especially its waters. Protects its natural resources. Protect Michiganders from absorbing high energy costs and continuous brownouts.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far

exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan Macdonald
22935 Lakeshore Dr.
St.ClairShores, MI 48080
macdgpp@gmail.com
(505) 231-8031

From:	Janelle Gurchinoff
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Tuesday, June 23, 2026 1:30:13 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Janelle Gurchinoff
4028 brookside road
Clarkston, MI 48346
janana826@gmail.com
(248) 238-9293

From:	Elisabeth Loos
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 24, 2026 4:07:47 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Loos
1044 San Lucia DR SE
Grand Rapids, MI 49506
elisabethloos@sbcglobal.net
(616) 808-9408

From:	Madeline Parks
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 24, 2026 6:18:15 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Madeline Parks
18227 Flarity Rd
Brethren, MI 49619
madelinelouisep@gmail.com
(231) 944-3140

From:	Peyton Davis
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 24, 2026 10:23:17 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Peyton Davis
8615 juniper street
Lansing, MI 48917
pd418177@gmail.com
(517) 350-0854

From:	Benjamin Williams
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Thursday, June 25, 2026 11:34:46 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Williams
9530 sterling
Richland, MI 49083
benjamin_williams@outlook.com
(269) 389-9449

From:	Kim Mccomb
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Friday, June 26, 2026 11:36:49 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kim Mccomb
3706 Stuyvesant Ave NE
Grand Rapids, MI 49525
kim@legacymetalfab.com
(616) 481-2050

From:	Deborah Pitsch
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Saturday, June 27, 2026 3:59:07 PM

We should limit the number of data centers, Not invite them! They increase pollution and energy costs. There are no good reasons to encourage building data centers in Michigan.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far

exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Deborah Pitsch
5359 Meadowmoor Dr NE
Belmont, MI 49306
debpitschtoo@yahoo.com
(616) 250-0434

From: Laura Vogel
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Saturday, June 27, 2026 7:41:21 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Laura Vogel
6220 Whispering Meadows Dr
White Lake, MI 48383
lm.vogel@outlook.com
(248) 705-1955

From:	Tony Davis
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Sunday, June 28, 2026 7:29:20 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tony Davis
10831 West 11 Mile Road
HUNTINGTON WOODS, MI 48070
tld48070@gmail.com
(248) 761-7690

From:	Irene Cahill
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Sunday, June 28, 2026 8:30:13 AM

Help us all, pause tax breaks for data centers.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Irene Cahill
657 Virginia Avenue
East Lansing, MI 48823
irenecahill@icloud.com
(517) 488-1486

From:	Marie Gruber
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Sunday, June 28, 2026 1:10:07 PM

We need to protect our water and affordable utility costs for Michiganders. DTE is already out of control with their rate hikes preparing for the incoming data centers. 12 YEARS OF RATE INCREASE APPROVALS. Our water and affordable utilities are far more important than AI. It's time to put people before profits.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Marie Gruber
887 Spence St
Pontiac, MI 48340
missagony13@gmail.com
(248) 613-9575

From:	Fred Miller
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Tuesday, June 30, 2026 9:36:41 AM

Like most others in this state, I am skeptical of the rush to build multiple huge data centers in record time and without full disclosure and evaluation of their impact. Officials should be skeptical as well, and require full information at every stage. Further, the few public protections in the law should be fully enforced. That means no tax breaks without a clear and detailed showing of compliance.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Fred Miller
71 Oakdale Blvd
Pleasant Ridge, MI 48069
fmill0309@gmail.com
(248) 915-0167

From: Margaret Carden
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Monday, July 6, 2026 5:43:23 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Margaret Carden
2049 Hyde Park
Detroit, MI 48207
carden0924@gmail.com
(586) 551-0006

From:	Chrissy Czapp
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Monday, July 6, 2026 7:17:34 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Chrissy Czapp
1928 Chestnut St
Holt, MI 48842
pinkcoffeeandpoe13@gmail.com
(734) 771-8627

From:	Karen Elly
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Tuesday, July 7, 2026 9:10:17 AM

No Data Centers

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Karen Elly
14032 Arcola Street
Livonia, MI 48154
yourhwa@hotmail.com
(248) 659-2858

From:	N Sparling
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Tuesday, July 14, 2026 8:34:39 PM

Data centre development is out of control and needs to be reigned in.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

N Sparling
28539 Grobbel Ave
Warren, MI 48092
njsparling@gmail.com
(586) 872-9663

From:	Megan Moore
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, July 15, 2026 12:02:30 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Megan Moore
9041 Old M 78
Haslett, MI 48840
memoore11261983@gmail.com
(248) 622-7135

From:	Joan Reyes
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, July 15, 2026 12:25:04 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joan Reyes
19628 Antago St
Livonia, MI 48152
jareyes.2@gmail.com
(248) 893-7073

From:	Teri Hammer
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, July 15, 2026 12:34:32 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Teri Hammer
48161 Bayshore Dr
Van Buren Twp, MI 48111
tlham2004@yahoo.com
(734) 325-6832

From: Barbara Speiser
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2026 2:31:13 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barbara Speiser
2105 Ridge
Canton, MI 48187
hummmingbird1@aol.com
(734) 718-6100

From: Melissa Gemmill
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2026 8:36:51 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Melissa Gemmill
14759 Field Ct Ne # Mi49319
Cedar Springs, MI 49319
mszahm01@gmail.com
(616) 894-0308

From: Dave Palmer
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2026 4:02:58 PM

DATA CENTERS ARE A THREAT TO A COMMUNITY'S NATURAL RESOURCES, IF THE CORPORATIONS GOT THE MONEY TO BUILD THEY DON'T NEED TAX BREAKS. NO DATA CENTERS NO TAX BREAKS NO NOTHING. THE COMMUNITIES THAT THEY WANT TO BUILD IN THEY MUST INCLUDE THE COMMUNITY IN ALL ASPECTS ??? of any "deal" THESE ARE OUR COMMUNITIES AND WE KNOW IT'S BEST FOR US AND OUR NEIGHBORS.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dave Palmer
15615 Bradner Ave
Plymouth, MI 48170
dplmr228@gmail.com
(248) 946-6392

From:	Pareese Young
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Saturday, July 18, 2026 5:07:17 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pareese Young
4931 Comfort Rd
Tecumseh, MI 49286
pareeseh@duck.com
(517) 423-7690

From: Sally Yost
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Sunday, June 21, 2026 10:43:35 AM

Michigan should not be subsidizing and enticing AI Data Centers with tax breaks ANY other incentives. We need an IMMEDIATE MORATORIUM to end AI Data Center construction in our state. You need to respect the voice of Michiganders who have spoken loudly that they do not want AI Data Centers in our beautiful state and they do not want their long term harmful impact!

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sally Yost
7922 Clydesdale Dr SE
Ada, MI 49301
kurtsallyyost@gmail.com
(616) 485-7150

From:	megjherrema@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Megan Herrema
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	Uphold the Law: No tax breaks for dirty data centers
Date:	Thursday, July 9, 2026 8:05:59 PM

Dear Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I am writing to urge you to uphold Michigan law and protect Michiganders from rising energy costs by denying tax breaks to data centers that fail to meet Michigan's clean energy requirements.

Data centers are among the most energy-intensive facilities on the planet, consuming as much electricity as small cities. Without strong safeguards, they can drive up energy demand, increase utility costs for families and small businesses, and slow Michigan's transition to clean, affordable energy.

The 2024 data center tax incentive law included a 90% clean energy requirement to ensure new development does not come at the expense of Michigan communities. Allowing corporations to qualify for tax breaks based on speculative compliance undermines the intent and letter of the law: data centers in Michigan must actually operate on at least 90% clean energy when they come online.

Granting tax exemptions without enforcing the law shifts the burden onto everyday Michiganders, forcing us to pay more for their energy while large corporations benefit.

I urge you to enforce the clean energy requirement as written, reject loopholes that weaken accountability, and protect Michigan communities from higher energy costs.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Megan Herrema
2030 72nd St SW Byron Center, MI 49315-8698
megjherrema@gmail.com

From:	kwautomail@phone2action.com
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	[WARNING: POSSIBLE SPOOF] No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Thursday, June 18, 2026 6:54:53 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

William Werner
409 Dutch Mill Dr
Flushing, MI 48433
alphadragon.will@gmail.com
(971) 320-0377

From:	kwautomail@phone2action.com
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	[WARNING: POSSIBLE SPOOF] No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Thursday, June 18, 2026 1:12:00 AM

I agree, NO TAX BREAKS for companies wanting to build AI data centers. In fact, NO DATA CENTER APPROVALS at all, is the best option.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dave Palmer
15615 Bradner Ave
Plymouth, MI 48170
dplmr228@gmail.com
(248) 946-6392

From:	kwautomail@phone2action.com
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	[WARNING: POSSIBLE SPOOF] No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 17, 2026 10:39:04 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Julia O'Connor
2360 Holt Rd
Williamston, MI 48895
oconnorj@live.com
(517) 655-3680

From:	kwautomail@phone2action.com
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	[WARNING: POSSIBLE SPOOF] No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Thursday, June 18, 2026 6:57:47 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy Johnson
9959 Continental Dr.
Taylor, MI 48180
njohnson0216@gmail.com
(313) 268-0101

From:	Cathy Sayre
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 17, 2026 10:12:19 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cathy Sayre
5059 Boyd Dr
Pinckney, MI 48169
saycat19@gmail.com
(734) 748-7830

From:	Dennis Glotzhober
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 17, 2026 11:14:57 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dennis Glotzhober
417 Steeple Chase Ct.
Bloomfield, MI 48304
wwwemail2000@nym.hush.com
(248) 593-5565

From: J Hawtin
To: MEDC MSF Comments
Subject: No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2026 11:46:20 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

J Hawtin
3460 Loon Lake Ct
Linden, MI 48451
joyhaw13@charter.net
(586) 215-7606

From:	Jackie Anderson
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 17, 2026 10:07:08 PM

Lawmakers will be held accountable for data center decisions in the upcoming elections.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jackie Anderson
2627 Stevens Hwy
Charlotte, MI 48813
andersondj95sub@gmail.com
(517) 543-2331

From:	Jill Yob
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 17, 2026 11:30:48 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jill Yob
44455 Robson
Van Buren Township, MI 48111
jmahy00@comcast.net
(734) 699-6053

From:	John M Rokas
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Thursday, June 18, 2026 12:19:58 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John M Rokas
22168 SCHROEDER
EASTPOINTE, MI 48021
boobalina@yahoo.com
(586) 775-0634

From:	Joseph Pasternack
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 17, 2026 10:34:22 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joseph Pasternack
128 South Nottawa Street Apt #516, POB #04
Sturgis, MI 49091
basketcaseiv@aol.com
(269) 689-4261

From:	Karen Perkowski
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 17, 2026 11:43:39 PM

I do not want to see data centers all o er Michigan. We can find better uses for our land. I do not believe for one second that will be self sustaining. I believe their existence will raise our utility rates, suck our available water dry. The noise is loud and unending. Until there are laws, guardrails, regulation put on AI I don't want to see any of it and any of their data centers in my state. Cheers to the communities that have continued the fight to keep them out and away. Maybe the moon? Or how about Mars? You can send all the AI and developers there.

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Karen Perkowski
3867 Audubon
Detroit, MI 48224
klperkow@ameritech.net
(313) 343-0155

From:	Karen Waters
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Wednesday, June 17, 2026 10:02:47 PM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Karen Waters
922 Eureka Street, 2
Lansing, MI 48912
karencrcc@gmail.com
(517) 643-7042

From:	Katie Wakeley
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Thursday, June 18, 2026 11:32:27 AM

Palantir and ChatGPT and OpenQI are run by pedophile transhumanist psychopaths. Selling our water and land to subhuman rich soulless reptiles erodes our state beyond repair. Your allegiance to Sam Altman a incestuous rapist of his sister ia very alarming and sad. Stop selling out Michigan resources to evil mass surveillance fascists!!

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katie Wakeley
587 Park Place Dr Ne
Rockford, MI 49341
katiewakeley@gmail.com
(231) 420-3585

From:	Art Hanson
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	No Blank Checks for Data Centers!
Date:	Thursday, June 18, 2026 12:28:15 AM

Dear Governor Whitmer and the Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I'm a Michigan resident writing to demand a pause on tax breaks for data centers until the board's guidelines enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written, and until the program's true fiscal, climate, and grid costs are fully accounted for.

Across the country, states are discovering these tax breaks cost far more than promised. Ohio's came in at $1.6 billion -- eleven times the estimate -- and the state paused new approvals; Illinois soon followed.

Michigan vastly underestimated the costs of these tax breaks. The estimate lawmakers relied on suggested the entire program would cost $52.5–90 million over roughly 40 years. Now a single data center in Saline could claim around $420 million: several times the original estimate, with more than a dozen other projects proposed.

We cannot expect income tax from these data centers to offset that loss. Michigan taxes corporate income based on where a company's customers are and data centers sell computing power to clients across the country and the world, so very little of that profit is taxable in Michigan.

Why are we giving data centers enormous tax breaks for little to nothing in return?

To the Michigan Strategic Fund board: enforce the 90% clean energy requirement as the law is written. The statute requires each facility to procure clean energy equal to 90% of its own forecasted electricity use, and it provides the pathways: on-site generation, a long-term contract that actually delivers clean energy to the facility, or a verified green pricing program.

The board is currently considering any data center served by a regulated utility compliant. That implementation cannot be squared with the statute. No Michigan utility delivers anywhere near 90% clean energy today and none is required to for years. So the board treats a standard no one meets as one everyone meets. It demands nothing beyond what a data center would do anyway -- buy utility power. And it carries no consequence: if the utility falls short, the data center keeps the exemption.

Meanwhile, the new demand from data centers becomes the "unanticipated load growth" that lets utilities petition to delay clean energy compliance and build new gas plants -- the opposite of what our legislature intended. I urge the board to revise its guidelines so exemptions go only to facilities that demonstrate 90% clean energy procurement for their own operations through the pathways the statute provides, with real reporting and consequences if they fail.

Until those guidelines are corrected, the board should issue no new certificates. With costs far exceeding original estimates, each certificate issued in the meantime would commit hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars to the most profitable corporations in the world.

To Governor Whitmer: you have said Michigan's environmental protections are "non-negotiable." As currently designed, this program undercuts that commitment, inviting data center demand that supplies the very "unanticipated load growth" utilities can use to delay your own clean energy law and build new fossil generation, while risking billions in tax losses the state has never fully accounted for.

Honoring your commitment to our environment would require you to take the step the governors of Ohio and Illinois just took: direct a pause on new exemptions and order a full accounting of the program's fiscal, climate, and grid impacts, with no new approvals until that accounting is public.

I come to you with one simple demand: no approvals of new tax breaks until data centers can no longer be used to dodge Michigan's clean energy law and until the true cost of these tax breaks are accounted for. Our tax dollars should support things like our public schools not tax breaks for some of the most profitable corporations in the world.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Art Hanson
2700 Burcham Dr., Rm. 329
East Lansing, MI 48823
ahanson47@comcast.net
(517) 420-4314

From:	emilyfriedman80@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Emily Friedman
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	Uphold the Law: No tax breaks for dirty data centers
Date:	Friday, June 26, 2026 4:01:51 PM

Dear Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I am writing to urge you to uphold Michigan law and protect Michiganders from rising energy costs by denying tax breaks to data centers that fail to meet Michigan's clean energy requirements.

Data centers are among the most energy-intensive facilities on the planet, consuming as much electricity as small cities. Without strong safeguards, they can drive up energy demand, increase utility costs for families and small businesses, and slow Michigan's transition to clean, affordable energy.

The 2024 data center tax incentive law included a 90% clean energy requirement to ensure new development does not come at the expense of Michigan communities. Allowing corporations to qualify for tax breaks based on speculative compliance undermines the intent and letter of the law: data centers in Michigan must actually operate on at least 90% clean energy when they come online.

Granting tax exemptions without enforcing the law shifts the burden onto everyday Michiganders, forcing us to pay more for their energy while large corporations benefit.

I urge you to enforce the clean energy requirement as written, reject loopholes that weaken accountability, and protect Michigan communities from higher energy costs.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Emily Friedman
10044 Borgman Ave Huntington Woods, MI 48070-1103
emilyfriedman80@gmail.com

From:	donaldgarlit@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Donald Garlit
To:	MEDC MSF Comments
Subject:	Uphold the Law: No tax breaks for dirty data centers
Date:	Friday, July 17, 2026 3:38:24 PM

Dear Michigan Strategic Fund Board,

I am writing to urge you to uphold Michigan law and protect Michiganders from rising energy costs by denying tax breaks to data centers that fail to meet Michigan's clean energy requirements.

Data centers are among the most energy-intensive facilities on the planet, consuming as much electricity as small cities. Without strong safeguards, they can drive up energy demand, increase utility costs for families and small businesses, and slow Michigan's transition to clean, affordable energy.

The 2024 data center tax incentive law included a 90% clean energy requirement to ensure new development does not come at the expense of Michigan communities. Allowing corporations to qualify for tax breaks based on speculative compliance undermines the intent and letter of the law: data centers in Michigan must actually operate on at least 90% clean energy when they come online.

Granting tax exemptions without enforcing the law shifts the burden onto everyday Michiganders, forcing us to pay more for their energy while large corporations benefit.

I urge you to enforce the clean energy requirement as written, reject loopholes that weaken accountability, and protect Michigan communities from higher energy costs.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Donald Garlit
49651 Shenandoah Cir Canton, MI 48187-1163
donaldgarlit@yahoo.com